UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,144	12/03/2005	Takanobu Nishigaki	054-602	9043
35870 A DEV H IDIS	7590 08/09/2007 DLLC		EXAM	INER
APEX JURIS, PLLC TRACY M HEIMS ROSE, ROBE		BERT A		
	CENTER, SUITE 410 CITY WAY NORTHEAST		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA			3723	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\mathcal{M}	,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/559,144	NISHIGAKI, TAKANOBU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Rose	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 17 iii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 No	ovember 2006					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers	7					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	f.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.			` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/559,144 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan No. 61-24121 in view of Ballew, and further in view of Aksamit(US 4440045).

 Japan('121) discloses a chainsaw sharpener comprising substantially all of the subject matter set forth in claim 1, except for the recitation of the wall faces having a substantial X-shape as seen in plan view. Ballew discloses a file guide having diverging walls to form a pair of opposed wall faces forming an X-shape as seen in plan view. To provide side wall faces on the lower surface of the guide body to aid in aligning the grinding tool with respect to the chain saw blade would have been obvious in view of Ballew.

 Aksamit('045) discloses a chainsaw sharpener having guide wall faces which are pressed against a guide bar of the chainsaw to stabilize the sharpening tool during use.

 To simply extend the depending side wall faces on the chainsaw sharpening tool of Japan('121) to contact the chainsaw guide bar in order to stabilize the sharpening tool during use, for more precise sharpening of the cutting edges, would have been obvious in view of Aksamit('045).
- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hyde is cited of interest to show another chain saw sharpening tool having depending side walls which contact the chainsaw guide bar.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,144

Art Unit: 3723

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 28, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's new limitation in claim 1, specifying that the wall faces are pressed against the guide bar of the chainsaw, is deemed to be obvious in view of Aksamit. Note in Aksamit('045) that the depending walls contact the guide bar of the chainsaw to stabilize the sharpening tool, and prevent the tool from wobbling.

Page 3

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-4494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and on alternate Fridays, from 8:00 to 5:30.

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached at (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Rose Primary Examiner

Art-Unit 3723

Rr

August 5, 2007.